



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/891,496	06/27/2001	James C. Thompson	05118.00003	8792		
22907 75	590 07/15/2004		EXAMINER			
BANNER & WITCOFF 1001 G STREET N W			HILLERY,	HILLERY, NATHAN		
SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			2176	2176		
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{A}		
Office Action Summary		09/891,496	THOMPSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nathan Hillery	2176			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess		
A SH THE - Exte- after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this commod (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nunication.		
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 De	ecember 2 <u>001</u> .				
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		•		
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2001</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ted to by the Examin	er.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National St	age		
* 0	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		2d			
	the attached detailed office action for a fist	or the contined copies not receive				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 412)			
	ie of References Cited (PTO-892) ie of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		
	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/891,496 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Preliminary Amendment filed on 12/17/01.

2. Claim 1 is pending in the case. Claim 1 is independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horowitz et al. (US006122647A).
- 5. Regarding independent claim 1, Horowitz et al. teach that a knowledge base or other data repository stores information associating individual topics with sets of documents related to the topic, and with terms descriptive of the topic. Preferably each document has a URL or other addressing information for accessing the document over a network. Each of the tags provided with the source document is used to determine a topic associated with the tag in the knowledge base, thereby identifying the topic(s) associated with the term in the tag. Links to the documents associated with the topic are then created. The links may be instantiated in two ways. First, the links can be established using the terms of the source document which generated the tags as link anchors (Column 3, lines 37 49), which provide for defining a list of word terms having a logical relationship with said subject header; and analyzing said

Application/Control Number: 09/891,496

Art Unit: 2176

electronic documents using said plurality of lexicons. Horowitz et al. do not explicitly teach lexicon. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to modify the invention of Horowitz et al. to provide for defining for each of said lexicons an associated subject header, since Horowitz et al. do teach that the knowledge base 130 further contains a number of topics linked together in various hierarchical interconnected graphs by relations. A topic may have any number of relationships to other topics. Each relationship defines a semantic relationship between two topics, and has a predefined type. Relationship types include, for example, parent and child relationships (e.g., "is-a" or "type-of") (Column 5, line 65 – Column 6, line 4); furthermore, it would be easier for the user to be able to utilize certain lexicons if the skilled artisan categorized the topics in such a way.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Hillery whose telephone number is (703) 305-4502. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NH

SANJIV SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

PRIMARY SHAH